

HOME . OPPORTUNITIES . INFORMATION . EVENTS . ABOUT SCA . MEMBERS

## SOCIETY OF CARDIOVASCULAR ANESTHESIOLOGISTS

INFORMATION • LINKS

## What's new with alpha-stat versus pH-stat?

**Reviewers:** 

David S. Muzic, MD Fellow, Cardiothoracic Anesthesia

Mark A. Chaney, MD Associate Professor Department of Anesthesia and Critical Care University of Chicago

When hypothermic cardiopulmonary bypass (HCPB) or deep hypothermic circulatory arrest (DHCA) is used during cardiac surgery, anesthesiologists and perfusionists are faced with an important question: Should one temperature correct blood gasses to patient temperature (pH-stat) or not ( $\alpha$ -stat)? With pH-stat management, turning down CPB gas sweep rate or adding CO<sub>2</sub> to the oxygenator counteracts increased gas



solubility, lower  $PaCO_2$ , and alkaline pH that would otherwise occur naturally with cooler temperatures. Thus, pH-stat requires an increased total body  $CO_2$  content to maintain neutrality at cooler temperatures. pH-stat causes cerebral vasodilatation above metabolic demands (loss of autoregulation) and possibly faster, more homogenous cooling. Proponents argue that pH-stat also improves oxygen delivery by counteracting the pH- and hypothermia- associated leftward shift in the oxyhemoglobin dissociation curve.  $\alpha$ -stat (not temperature correcting) requires that neutrality is maintained only at  $37^{\circ}C$ , and permits the hypothermic alkaline drift. Thus, additional  $CO_2$  is not needed and cerebral autoregulation is maintained. Proponents of  $\alpha$ -stat cite that cellular transmembrane pH gradients, protein functioning, and enzyme activity are more normal when the pH is allowed to drift alkaline in parallel with the temperature dependent pKa's of proteins and the neutral pH of water. They also argue that a relatively alkaline pH is beneficial before the ischemic insult of circulatory arrest. Despite considerable laboratory and animal research into these mechanisms, substantial controversy remains over which strategy produces the best clinical outcomes. Recent animal, pediatric, and adult investigations, however, have advanced our understanding of the competing benefits of each technique.

Considerable recent animal data suggest pH-stat management confers cerebral protection during DHCA when compared with  $\alpha$ -stat. For example, experiments involving a porcine DHCA model and intracranial monitoring of temperature, oxygen tension, and cerebral metabolites (via a microdialysis catheter), revealed pH-stat management was associated with fewer metabolic derangements and improved survival after 75 minutes of DHCA at 18°C, when compared with  $\alpha$ -stat. In a study involving DHCA in piglets, improved cerebral oxygenation by near infrared spectroscopy, improved short term neurological performance, and improved brain histopathlogy was associated with pH-stat when compared with  $\alpha$ -stat. Similarly, in a piglet

model involving DHCA and intravital microscopy through a cranial window, improved cerebral oxygenation at the end of cooling, and faster recovery of cerebral oxygenation upon rewarming, was associated with pH-stat when compared with  $\alpha$ -stat. Other recent data have suggested that pH-stat antegrade cerebral perfusion improves cerebral oxygenation and reduces lactate formation in dogs with cerebral infarcts when compared to  $\alpha$ -stat. Proposed mechanisms for the benefits of pH-stat include a relative rightward shift of the oxyhemoglobin dissociation curve aiding oxygen delivery, increased cerebral blood flow and volume providing a greater depot of oxygen stores during circulatory arrest, more complete cooling, and greater suppression of cerebral metabolic rate.

The pediatric literature also generally supports the use of pH-stat management during HCPB and DHCA for providing both cerebral and myocardial protection. Clinical studies suggest that pH-stat is particularly beneficial in cyanotic neonates and infants because it shifts more CPB flow away from the aortopulmonary collateral circulation and towards the cerebral circulation, both improving cerebral cooling and oxygen supply. Recent studies have also revealed a decrease in peak postoperative troponin levels, reduced ventilator dependence, and reduced ICU stays with pH-stat versus α-stat. Despite such evidence, however, conflicting clinical outcome data persist. For example, a randomized controlled trial of over 100 neonates and infants revealed that neither strategy was consistently associated with improved neurodevelopmental outcome.

The data are even less conclusive for adults, unfortunately. Recent studies favoring pH-stat revealed significantly fewer episodes of jugular venous desaturation upon rewarming and a reduction in cerebral arteriovenous glucose and oxygen gradients when compared with with α-stat.<sup>9-10</sup> Studies such as these supporting pH stat management without evaluating long term follow-up, however, hardly challenge the widely-cited evidence Murkin and colleagues presented in 1995 showing poorer neurological outcomes with pH-stat HCPB.<sup>11</sup> Many speculate that, in adults, any potential benefits of excessive cerebral blood flow during HCPB are outweighed by an increased cerebral embolic load (microemboli and macroemboli).<sup>12</sup> Interestingly, this putative mechanism has been recently challenged by a study involving a controlled microembolic load and DHCA in pigs that revealed that pH-stat was still associated with improved outcomes when compared with α-stat.<sup>13</sup> Clinical applicability of these results are limited, however, and these results alone should not impact current clinical practice.

In summary, the debate over optimal blood gas management during HCBP and DHCA continues. Most clinicians believe pH-stat offers substantial protection in neonatal and infant cardiac surgery where aortopulmonary steal often exists and embolic risk is low (despite a lack of consistent outcome data). Conversely, most clinicians apply an  $\alpha$ -stat strategy for adults undergoing HCPB and/or DHCA, in order to maintain cerebral autoregulation and limit cerebral embolic load. With definitive outcome data lacking, further research is certainly warranted.

## References

- 1. Pokela M, Dahlbacka S, Biancari F, Vainionpää V et al. pH-Stat versus alpha-stat perfusion strategy during experimental hypothermic circulatory arrest: a microdialysis study. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2003:76:1215-26.
- 2. Ohkura K, Kazui T, Yamamoto S et al. Comparison of pH management during antegrade selective cerebral perfusion in canine models with old cerebral infarction. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2004; 128(3):378-85.
- 3. Kollmar R, Frietsch T, Georgadis D et al. Early effects of acid-base management during hypothermia on cerebral infarct volume edema, and cerebral blood flow in acute focal cerebral ischemis in rats. *Anesthesiology.* 2002; 97:868-74.
- 4. Duebener LF, Hagino I, Sakamoto T et al. Effects of pH management during deep hypothermic bypass on cerebral microcirculation: α-stat versus pH-stat. *Circulation*. 2002; I-103-I-108.
- 5. Sakamoto T, Zurakowski D, Duebener LF et al. Combination of α-stat strategy and hemodilution

- exacerbates neurology injury in a surviving piglet model with deep hypothermic circulatory arrest. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2002; 73(1):180-9.
- 6. Sakamoto T, Kurosawa H, Shin'oka T et al. The influence of pH strategy on cerebral and collateral circulation during hypothermic cardiopulmonary bypass in cyanotic patients with heart disease: Results of a randomized trial and real-time monitoring. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2004; 127: 12-9.
- 7. Nagy ZL, Collins M, Sharpe T et al. Effect of two different bypass techniques on serum troponin-T levels in newborns and children: Does pH-stat provide better protection? *Circulation* 2003; 108:577-582.
- 8. Bellinger DC, Wypij D, du Plessis AJ et al. Developmental and neurologic effects of α-stat versus pH-stat strategies for deep hypothermic cardiopulmonary bypass in infants. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2001; 121(2):374-83.
- 9. Shaaban-Ali M, Harmer M, Vaughan RS et al. Changes in cerebral oxygenation during cold and warm cardiopulmonary bypass using different blood gas strategies in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting surgery. *Acta Anesth Scand.* 2004; 48(7): 837-44.
- Kiziltan HT, Baltah M, Bilen A et al. Comparison of α-stat and pH-stat cardiopulmonary bypass in relation to jugular venous oxygen saturation and cerebral glucose-oxygen utilization. *Anesth Analg.* 2003; 96:644-50.
- 11. Murkin JM, Martzke JS, Buchan AM et al. A randomized study of the influence of perfusion technique and pH management strategy in 316 patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery: neurological and congnitive outcomes. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1995;110:349-62.
- 12. Plochl W, Cook DJ. Quantification and distribution of cerebral emboli during cardiopulmonary bypass in the swine: the impact of PaCO<sub>2</sub>. *Anesthesiology* 1999;90:183-90.
- 13. Dahlbacka S, Heikkinen J, Kaakinen T, et al. pH-Stat versus α-stat acid-base management strategy during hypothermic circulatory arrest combined with embolic brain injury. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2005;79:1316-25.

## **Table of Contents:**

- President's Message
- Call for Nominations
- Literature Reviews
  - Cardioprotective effects of acute isovolemic hemodilution in a rat model of transient coronary occlusion.
  - Intraoperative effects of the Coapsys annuloplasty system in a randomized evaluation (RESTOR-MV) of functional ischemic mitral regurgitation.
- Drug and Innovation Updates
  - What's new with alpha-stat versus pH-stat?
  - Do women fare worse than men following cardiac surgery?
  - Percutaneous valve surgery
- © Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists

  Questions or comments? Please send email to webmaster@scahq.org